

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)  
United States Attorney

2 MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549)  
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 RICHARD J. CUTLER (CSBN 146180)  
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue  
6 San Francisco, California 94102  
7 Telephone: (415) 436-6867  
Email: richard.cutler@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 **ULISES CASTELLANOS-CISNEROS,**  
16 **JERONIMO MADRIZ-REYNA,**

17 Defendants.  
18  
19  
20  
21

Criminal No. CR 07-00004 CRB

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~**  
**ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**

22 The above-captioned matter came before the Court on January 10, 2007, for a status  
23 hearing. Both defendants, Ulises Castellanos-Cisneros, and Jeronimo Madriz-Reyna were  
24 present and were represented by their attorneys, Arturo Hernandez-M, Esq., and H. Ernesto  
25 Castillo, Esq., respectively, and the government was represented by Richard J. Cutler, Assistant  
26 United States Attorney. Pursuant to a request from defendants for additional time to review the  
27 discovery and to investigate the case, the Court set a status hearing for February 14, 2007. The  
28 Court made a finding on the record that the time between January 10, 2007, and February 14,

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**  
**ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**  
**CR 07-00004 CRB**

2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(3)(B)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. That finding was based on the Court's finding of the defendants' needs to effectively investigate and prepare the matter, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. That finding was made pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until February 14, 2007, at at 2:15 p.m. and that an exclusion of time until that date be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(3)(B)(iv), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

DATED: 1/10/07

/S/  
ARTURO HERNANDEZ-M  
Counsel for DEFENDANT  
ULISES CASTELLANOS-CISNEROS

DATED: 1/10/07

/S/  
H. ERNESTO CASTILLO  
Counsel for DEFENDANT  
JERONIMO MADRIZ-REYNA


DATED: 1/10/07

/S/  
RICHARD J. CUTLER  
Assistant United States Attorney

SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 18, 2007

CHARLES R. BREYER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
CR 07-00004 CRB**